
Globalism & the Great Reset

“*The Great Reset* came into general circulation over a decade ago, with the publication of a 2010 book, ‘*The Great Reset*,’ by American urban studies scholar Richard Florida, written in the aftermath of the 2008 financial crisis.”

– Dr. Michael Rectenwald, Hillsdale College, November 7, 2021

The December 2021 issue of Hillsdale College’s *Imprimis* featured the on-campus speech of Dr. Michael Rectenwald¹ that answered this question, “What is the Great Reset?” Assuring the audience that the Great Reset is real, he began his speech with this:

“Last year [2020] Klaus Schwab, founder and executive chairman of the World Economic Forum (WEF) – a famous organization made up of the world’s political, economic, and cultural elites that meets annually in Davos, Switzerland – and Thierry Malleret, co-founder and main author of the *Monthly Barometer*, published a book called *COVID-19: The Great Reset*. In the book, they define the Great Reset as a means of addressing the ‘weaknesses of capitalism’ that were purportedly exposed by the COVID pandemic.”

He, also, cited Richard Florida’s 2010 book entitled *The Great Reset*, which deemed the 2008 economic crash as the *latest in a series* of Great Resets, such as the Long Depression of the 1870s and the Great Depression of the 1930s. Florida defined both as periods of “paradigm-shifting systemic innovations,” i.e., frameworks for diluting the sovereignty of nations.

During the 2014 WEF annual meeting, Schwab said, “What we want to do in Davos this year ... is to push the reset button.”²

“In May 2018, the WEF collaborated with the Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security to conduct ‘CLADE X,’ a simulation of a world pandemic response” ... that without effective vaccines “experts tell us that we could eventually see 30 to 40 million deaths in the U.S. and more than 90 million around the world – twelve percent of the global population.”

In October 2019, the WEF ... simulated another exercise – “Event 201 – as an international response to the outbreak of a novel coronavirus.... That scenario included the idea of asymptomatic spread.” Those exercises demonstrated how pandemics would affect “responses” by governments, health agencies, the media, tech companies and elements of the public.”

Dr. Rectenwald continued, “The Great Reset aims to usher in a bewildering economic amalgam – Schwab’s stakeholder⁴ capitalism – which I have called ‘*corporate socialism*’ and Italian philosopher Giorgio Agamben has called ‘*communist capitalism*.’”

¹ Dr. Rectenwald, American Scholars’ Chief Academic Officer, taught at five universities and is author of numerous books.

² Portending other “shifts” were the simulated pandemic responses of (a) 2018 CLADE X and (b) Event 201 of 2019.

³ “Responses and their effects included worldwide lockdowns, the collapse of businesses and industries, the adoption of biometric surveillance technologies, an emphasis on social media censorship to combat ‘misinformation,’ the flooding of social and legacy media with ‘authoritative sources,’ widespread riots, and mass unemployment.”

⁴ “In brief, stakeholder capitalism involves the behavioral modification of corporations to benefit... individuals and groups.... [It] requires not only corporate responses to pandemics and ecological issues such as climate change, ‘but also rethinking [corporations’] commitments ... Thus is the ‘social justice’ aspect of the Great Reset.... [It coerces] the Environmental, Social, & Governance index to squeeze non-woke corporations and businesses out of the market.”

Socialism & the Great Reset

“The Great Reset aims to usher in a bewildering economic ... stakeholder capitalism, which I have called ‘corporate socialism’ and Italian philosopher Giorio Agamben has called ‘communist capitalism.’”

– Dr. Michael Rectenwald, November 7, 2021, Hillsdale College

Important to a great reset is “stakeholder capitalism,” requiring corporation-and-state operating agreements, which would strip the word “free” from the U.S. free enterprise system. Such plans directly contradict and oppose U.S. capitalism and other free economic systems of the West. Dr. Rectenwald enlightened us with this fact: What used to be called “corporatism or economic fascism” is now called “stakeholder capitalism.” Get the picture? Words matter!

In June 2020, at its *50th annual meeting*, WEF officially launched the Great Reset (i.e. “re-imaging”). A month later in July 2020 Schwab and Malleret published *COVID-19 and the Great Reset*, declaring that COVID represents an “opportunity [that] can be seized ... we should take advantage of this unprecedented opportunity to reimagine our world ... the moment must be seized....” Note the word “reimagine,” which suggests “re-image,” i.e. transformation.

Consider how the following “Re-sets,” actually, destroy U.S. capitalism

- (a) *Monopolize and control* products made and distributed by a *few favored corporations*.
- (b) Eliminate *industries and producers* WEF deems un-essential.
- (c) Create Public-Private Partnership agreements between *profitable monopolies and the State*.
- (d) Transition into a two-tiered economy, with red-tape control of historically free enterprises.
- (e) Get unanswerable-to-voters PPPs on top, but socialism for the vast majority on the bottom.
- (f) Allow unfettered immigration, which is occurring by invitation on the U.S. southern border.
- (g) Print unlimited amounts of money to create inflation.
- (h) Increased dependence on the State.
- (i) Increase taxes.
- (j) Break supply chains.
- (k) Create untenable vaccine mandates that lead to job losses.

“Don’t let a crisis go to waste,” certainly applies to this quote from Schwab and Malleret’s *COVID-19 & the Great Reset*: “[If] the past five centuries in Europe and America” have taught us anything, “it is that acute crises contribute to boosting the power of the state. It has always been the case and there is no reason it should be different with the COVID-19 pandemic.”

Dr. Rechenwald Connects the Dots

The “few” selected corporations will, not only become deputies of State and intergovernmental entities, they will expose corporate assets to government partners. Essentially, international PPPs would become globalist, even though a one-world government may never be formalized. This, the *Fourth Industrial Revolution (4-IR)*, is building on mechanical, electrical, and digital resets, with innovations of (a) Big Data, (b) artificial intelligence (AI), (c) machine learning, (e) quantum computing, (f) genetics, (g) nanotechnology and (h) robotics. “What’s different about the globalists’ vision of 4-IR is the attempt to harness it to the ends of the Great Reset....”

“4-IR seeks to accelerate the merging of humans and machines.... Unless taken out of the hands of corporate-socialist technocrats, the 4-IR will eventually lead to a virtual and inescapable prison of body and mind.” The descriptive word “transhumanism” applies here. Consider that.

ACTION – To request Dr. Rechenwald’s full speech, contact 1 800 437-2268 or imprimis@hillsdale.edu. Distribute copies to officials to encourage them to change laws, rules, and regulations to counteract the Great Reset.

H.R. 2670 would Purge Military of “Wokeness”

Drama Surrounds FY24 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA)

“The FY4 NDAA [House Version] pushes back against the radical woke ideology being forced on our servicemen and women and restores the focus of our military on lethality.”

– “Ending Wokeness in the Military,” House Armed Services Committee

One might yawn at the thought of reading about a process that’s occurred annually for 62 years, but this time things are different. Proposals in H.R. 2670, this year’s NDAA, may spark “fire-works” in conference committee, where Senate and House bills are reconciled. (See **ACTION** below.)

Contention over Cultural Issues

The House reduced 1,500 amendments to 370 before voting 219 – 210 to pass H.R. 2670 on July 14th, but not all party-line. Four Democrats voted YEA and four Republicans voted NAY.

Agitated with its cultural issues, calling the House bill a “travesty,” and reminiscing of more compatible times, Representative Gerry Connolly, (D-VA) complained, “This year, however, Republicans have replaced that long bipartisan tradition with their *extreme MAGA agenda*.”

4 Ways H.R. 2670 Effects “Wokeness”

1. Bans Critical Race Theory (CRT) in the Military

(a) Prohibits funding for teaching CRT and CRT advisory committees, (b) requires Secretary of Defense to report to Congress how much time and taxpayer money are wasted on CRT training.

2. Ends Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (DEI) Overreach

- (a) Repeals the law that created the Chief Diversity Officer.
- (b) Prohibits DoD from paying anyone over \$92,000 (GS-10 level) to carry out DEI programs.
- (c) Requires DoD to validate the need for every position in the DEI bureaucracy.
- (d) Eliminates funding for the DoD politically-biased Countering Extremism Working Group.
- (e) Prohibits DoD’s contracting with NewsGuard (sic) Technologies or similar advertising firms that blacklist conservative news sources.
- (f) Prohibits funding for any DoD advisory committee dealing with race, gender identity, sexual orientation or social justice.

3. Prohibits Drag Shows

- (a) Eliminates funding for drag shows, drag queen story hours or similar events.
- (b) Halts the Navy promotion of a drag queen as a “Digital Ambassador.”

4. Includes a Parental Bill of Rights

Ensures parents with children in DoD schools that they have the right to

- (a) Review curriculum books and instructional material.
- (b) Meet with teachers.
- (c) Provide consent before medical exams or screenings are done by the school.

Two Georgia Republicans – Representatives Austin Scott and Marjorie Taylor Greene – are among the 21 House members assigned to that conference committee. (At this writing, the Senate has not published a list of conference committee members to reconcile these bills.)

ACTION – Ask conference committee members to retain H.R. 2670 “culture war” provisions (summary on p. 3).

Democrats view some of them as MAGA issues and nonnegotiable in the Senate. Call Georgia H.R. 2670 conference committee members, 1 877 762-8762, ask for Representative Scott. Call again to speak with Representative Greene.

NOTE: That toll-free number may be used to contact any member of Congress in the House or Senate.

Senate Version, S.2226 FY24 National Defense Authorization Act

The Senate amended S.2226 with 121 of 900 amendments, then passed it by a vote of 86 – 11. The House and Senate bills are different, so a conference committee will reconcile them.

“Culture War” Provisions, the Senate’s Major Contention with H.R. 2670

Because it prohibits reimbursement and payment for service members who want abortions, Representative Ronny Jackson’s (R-TX) amendment on the final version of H.R. 2670 promises to be strongly contested in conference committee. Though contradicting the Hyde Amendment, the Pentagon authorizes leave and travel expenses for abortions sought by Military personnel.

More contention swirls around Representative Rosendale’s (R-MT) amendment that prohibits Defense Department payment for coverage of sex reassignment surgeries and services. Some say the Senate categorizes that issue as “nonnegotiable.” Also, considered greatly contentious is the House prohibition against funding for Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (DEI) programs.

A major lobby firm sent a “heads-up” for clients to “watch” how the conference committee handles “culture war” issues – limited paid travel for abortion access, transgender affirmation care and DEI programs – which Senate Democrats and the Administration strongly support and don’t want defunded. A most contentious part of H.R. 2670 is Representative Matt Rosendale’s (R-MT) amendment to stop providing coverage for sex reassignment surgeries and services.

Two Georgia Republicans – Representatives Austin Scott and Marjorie Taylor Greene – are among 21 House members on the conference committee. The Senate list is not available, yet.

ACTION – To support H.R. 2670 in conference, ask legislators to (a) reject efforts to eliminate “culture war” provisions. Four are summarized on page three. No. 5 eliminates demands that contractors prove products comply with the Green New Deal. (b) Firmly support all sections of H.R. 2670 that uphold/promote conservatism.

Call 1 877 762-8762 and ask for Rep. Austin Scott or Rep. Marjorie Taylor Greene, who represent Georgia on the 21-member U.S. House conference committee. A list of senators on the conference committee is not yet available.

NOTE: Callers throughout the U.S. may use 1 877 762-8762 to reach representatives and senators.

Senator Tuberville (R-AL) Stops Pentagon Confirmations

An article entitled, “Tuberville is showing how much power one senator has under Senate rules¹,” reports that Senator Tommy Tuberville (R-AL) has, singlehandedly, blocked the confirmation of every personnel move in the U.S. Military from February 2023 until July 15, when the article was written. First, he blocked a batch of 150 personnel changes awaiting approval. Then, by July 15th he had blocked 270 confirmations and counting. However, he says, “There is no one more military than me.”

Senator Tuberville said he will not stop blocking Pentagon confirmations until the Pentagon rescinds its policy of giving leave and travel expenses for military personnel seeking abortions, while stationed in strict-abortion-law states. His state – Alabama – has one of the country’s strictest abortion laws and, also, has six military facilities affected by Pentagon policy. Incidentally, the Hyde Amendment to the U.S. Constitution bans federal funding for abortion.

¹ Congressional Rule: Unanimous Consent (u.c.) is a legislative procedure whereby a legislator requests approval by all legislators to approve rule changes or bills. The rules have been used in the U.S. House and U.S. Senate since their first meetings in 1789. A representative or senator requests unanimous consent from the presiding legislator to bypass quorum calls, approve routine bills, and activate unanimous consent agreements. Any legislator can object to this request in order to trigger debate prior to further consideration. The presiding officer waits for objections and approves passage if there is consent by all members. – *Political Dictionary* (online)